# **Tennessee Higher Education Commission** 2010-15 Performance Funding **Summary of Points Recommended**



	Year 2:	2011-12
Roane State Community College	Maximum Points	Recommended Points
STANDARD ONE - QUALITY OF STUDENT LEARNIN	G AND ENGA	GEMENT
Standard 1A: General Education Assessment	15	15
Standard 1B: Major Field Assessment	15	15
Standard 1C: Academic Programs: Accreditation and Evaluation	15	15
Standard 1D: Satisfaction Studies (Alumni Satisfaction Project)	10	10
Standard 1E: Job Placement	10	10
Standard 1F: Assessment Implementation	10	8
STANDARD TWO - QUALITY OF STUDENT ACCESS A	ND STUDENI	SUCCESS
Standard 2: Student Access and Student Success	25	25
Total Points	100	98

**Institutional Comments:** 

Please place any comments in this text box.

# Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 1A: General Education Assessment

The General Education standard is designed to provide incentives to institutions for improvements in the quality of their undergraduate general education program as measured by the performance of graduates on an approved standardized test of general education.

Roane State Community College											
	Year 2: 2011-12										
TENNESSEE	<b>Maximum Points:</b>	15									
HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION	<b>Recommended Points</b>	: 15									
Test Type:	ETS Proficiency Profile	2									
Graduates Tested: All or Sample?	All										
<b>Total Eligible Graduates:</b>	798										
No. Graduates Tested:	759										
Percent Tested:	95%										

National 1	National Norm Comparison (Maximum 15 points in Years 1-3 and 10 points in Years 4-5)												
Mean Score	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15								
Institution	441.7	440.0											
National	437.5	437.6											
Diff (I-Nat'l)	4.1	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0								
% Institution to National Average	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%								

Institutional Trends Comparison (Maximum 5 points in Years 4-5)												
Mean Score		2013-14	2014-15									
Institution												
3 Yr Average												
Diff (I-Avg)		0.0	0.0									
% Institution to		00/	00/									
National Average		0%	0%									

### **Institutional Comments:**

### Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 1B: Major Field Assessment

The Major Field standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of academic programs as evaluated by the performance of graduates on approved

			R	loane State	Communi	ty College						
		SEE						R	Year 2: Maximu ecommend	m Points:	15 15	
Г		]	Licensure Progr	ams Repor	ted Annua	llv (Sorted	by CIP C		ccomment	cu i onits.	15	
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	No. Grads	No. Tested	No. Passed	% Tested	Inst. Score	Comp. Score	% Inst to Comparison So
	31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	2012	NBDHE	12	11	11	92%	100%	96%	100%
	31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST	2.3.AAS	2011	OTRE	14	15	15	100%	100%	81%	100%
	31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSISTANT	2.3.AAS	2011	NPTE	17	14	14	82%	100%	86%	100%
	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	2011	ASRT	27	26	24	96%	92%	93%	100%
	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3.AAS	2011-12	NBRCE	12	10	10	83%	100%	78%	100%
	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3.AAS	2011	NCLEX	119	119	108	100%	91%	83%	100%
	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3.AAS	2011-12	NOCE	13	10	8	77%	80%	55%	100%
		Progra	ms Reported O	nce During	5 Year Cy	cle (Sorted	by Repor	ting Year)				
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Code	No. Grads	No. Tested		% Tested	Inst. Score	Comp. Score	% Inst to Comparison S
	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS MANAGEMENT TECH	2.3.AAS	2010-11	Local	32	31		97%	74.61	70.00	100%
	32.52.0299.01	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT	2.3.AAS	2010-11	Local	27	24		89%	65.79	70.00	94%
	31.51.0707.00	HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	2011-12	RHIT	10	5		50%	80	71	100%
	27.43.0107.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	2.3.AAS	2012-13	Local							
	14.22.0302.00	PARALEGAL STUDIES	2.3.AAS	2013-14	Local							
	08.13.0101.00	TEACHING	2.3.AST	2014-15	TBD							
		1	Programs Exem	pt During 5	5 Year Cyc	le (Sorted b	y Exempt	ion)				
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Exen	nption							
	06.11.0801.00	WEB TECHNOLOGY (RODP)	2.3.AAS	Low Pr	oducing							
	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.3.AAS	Low Pr	oducing							
	16.24.0102.02	PROFESSIONAL STUDIES	2.3.AAS	Low Pr	oducing							
L	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS	2.3.AAS	Low Pr	oducing							
	31.51.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3.AAS	Low Pr	oducing							
L	23.32.0111.00	GENERAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	Multidis	sciplinary							
	16.24.0101.01	GENERAL EDUCATION	2.3.AA, AS	Multidis	sciplinary							
	31.51.9999.01	ALLIED HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3AAS	New p	rogram							
	30.50.0903.00	ART	2.3AFA	New p	rogram							
			1	1	gram 2013							

#### **Institutional Comments:**

Dental Hygiene Technology: One additional student has taken the exam, but results are not yet available. Results will be forwarded to THEC upon receipt.

### 2010-11 Licensure Results

2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	No. Grads	No. Tested	No. Passed	% Tested	Inst. Score	Comp. Score	% Inst to Comparison Score
31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	2011	NBDHE	12	12	10	100%	83%	96%	87%
31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST	2.3.AAS	2010	OTRE	20	20	20	100%	100%	84%	100%
31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSISTANT	2.3.AAS	2010	NPTE	19	19	18	100%	95%	84%	100%
31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	2010	ASRT	33	31	27	94%	87%	92%	95%
31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3.AAS	2010-11	NBRCE	11	11	11	100%	100%	77%	100%
31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3.AAS	2010	NCLEX	99	99	95	100%	96%	82%	100%
31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3.AAS	2010-11	NOCE	21	21	18	100%	86%	53%	100%



16

12.19.0706.00

EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

2.3.AAS

## **Tennessee Higher Education Commission** 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 1C: Academic Programs: Accreditation and Evaluation

The Academic Programs standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence and accreditation.

Year 2: 2011-12

NAECYC decision in 2014-15

			Roane State	e Community C	ollege				
į	N	Aaximum Points	15			Undergradua	ate Programs		
i	Rec	commended Points	15		Number of N			11	
		Accreditation			Poin	ts Recommen	ded:	10	
	Number o	f Accreditable Programs:	16						
		ograms Seeking Accreditation	1						
		of Accredited Programs:	15						
		rcent Accredited:	100%						
	Poir	nts Recommended:	5						
			Ac	creditation					
						Accreditat	ion Cycle	_	
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree Level	Accrediting Agency	Accredited?	Begin Date	End Date	Next Site Visit	
1	14.22.0302.00	PARALEGAL STUDIES	2.3.AAS	ABA	Yes	2005	2013	2012	
2	31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECH	2.3.AAS	ADA	Yes	2007	2013	2013	
3	31.51.0707.00	HEALTH INFORMATION TECH	2.3.AAS	CAHIIM	Yes	2005	On-going		
4	31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST.	2.3.AAS	AOTA	Yes	2007	2014	2014	
5	31.51.0805.00	PHARMACY TECHNICIAN	2.2C1	ASHEP	Yes	2009	2015	2015	
6	31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSISTANT	2.3.AAS	APTA	Yes	2010	2020	2019	
7	31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC	2.2.C1	CAAHEP	Yes	2012	2017	2017	
8	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	JCERT	Yes	2006	2014	2014	
9	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3.AAS	CAAHEP	Yes	2011	2021	2021	
10	31.51.1099.01	POLYSOMNOGRAPHY TECH	2.1.C1	CAAHEP	Yes	2010	2014	2014	
11	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3.AAS	NLNAC	Yes	2007	2015	2015	
12	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3.AAS	COA	Yes	2008	2014	2014	
13	31.51.3501.00	MASSAGE THERAPY	2.1.C1	COMTA	Yes	2010	2015	2015	
14	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS MANAGEMENT TECH	2.3.AAS	ACBSP	Yes	2004	2014	2014	
15	32.52.0299.01	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT	2.3.AAS	ACBSP	Yes	2004	2014	2014	

NAEYC



### 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 1C: Academic Programs: Accreditation and Evaluation

The Academic Programs standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence and accreditation.

		Year 2: 201	1-12				
	Roane State Co	mmunity College					
Maximum Points	15	Undergraduate Programs					
<b>Recommended Points</b>	15	Number of Non-Accreditable Programs:	11				
		Points Recommended:	10				
Accreditation							
Number of Accreditable Programs:	16						
Number of Programs Seeking Accreditation	1						
Number of Accredited Programs:	15						
Percent Accredited:	100%						
Points Recommended:	5						

Program Evaluation: Non-Accreditable Programs

						RS	SCC - 5 Year	Review Cycle	
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Year Reviewed	Evaluation Type *	Total No. Standards	"NA" Standards	# Stand. Met	% Met
1	31.51.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3.AAS	2010-11	AA	23		23	100%
2	16.24.0101.01	GENERAL EDUCATION	2.3.AA, AS	2011-12	AA	23		23	100%
3	06.11.0801.00	WEB TECHNOLOGY (RODP)	2.3.AAS	2011-12	AA	20		20	100%
	06.11.0801.00	WEB PAGE AUTHORING (RODP)	2.1.C1	Embedded Certificate					
4	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEMS	2.3.AAS	2011-12	AA	23		23	100%
	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEMS	2.1.C1	Embedded Certificate					
5	27.43.0107.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE (AAS)	2.3 AAS	2012-13	PR				
	27.43.9999.00	HOMELAND SECURITY	2.1.C1	Embedded Certificate					
6	31.51.0708.00	MEDICAL TRANSCRIPTION	2.1.C1	2013-14	PR				
7	31.51.9999.01	ALLIED HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3AAS	2013-14	AA				
8	23.32.0111.00	GENERAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	2013-14	PR				
9	16.24.0102.02	PROFESSIONAL STUDIES	2.3.AAS	2013-14	AA				
10	06.110101.00	COMPUTER SCIENCE	2.1.C1	2014-15	AA				
11	08.13.0101.00	TEACHING	2.3.AST	2014-15	AA				
12	30.50.0903.00	ART	2.3AFA	New program effect	ive Aug 2012				
13	27.43.0104.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE (RODP)	2.3 AAS	New program Januar	y 2013				

0 89

100%

89

**\*PR** denotes traditional **Program Review** with checklist of 25 criteria. Criterions include program outcomes, curriculum, teaching/learning environment, faculty and support.

**AA** denotes **Academic Audit** with checklist of 20 criteria or 23 criteria for programs undergoing the Academic Audit a second time or more. Criterions include learning objectives, curriculum/co-curriculum, teaching/learning processes, student learning assessment, quality assurance, overall assessment, and support.

#### Institutional Comments:

Paralegal Studies: Letter from ABA on May 4 2012 to extend approval extension until Feb 2013. Extension will allow sufficient time for completion of the reapproval process.

Paramedic: An official e-mail from CoAEMSP is attached. An accreditation recommendation has been forwarded to CAAHEP for consideration at their next scheduled meeting on July 18, 2012. A copy of the official CAAHEP letter will be forwarded to THEC once it has been received.

Respiratory Therapy: The program has been accredited through 11/30/2021. A Certificate of Accreditation from CoARC is attached.

Early Childhood Education: On January 27, 2012, NAEYC confirmed that the ECED program meets eligibility requirements for NAEYC Early Childhood Associate Degree Accreditation. In 2012-13, the ECED program director will coordinate self-study activities and report writing.

## Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 1D: Satisfaction Studies (Alumni Satisfaction Project)

The Satisfaction Studies standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of their undergraduate programs as evaluated by surveys of undergraduate students, recent graduates, and regional and/or national employers of recent graduates.

	Roane State Community College								
	Year 2: 2011-12								
	Maximum Points:	10							
TENNESSEE HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION	Recommended Points:	10							
Year	Satisfaction Study								
2010-11	Student Engagement Survey (NSSE and CCSSE)								
2011-12	Alumni Satisfaction Project								
2012-13	Employer Satisfaction Project								
2013-14	Student Engagement Survey (NSSE and CCSSE)	Student Engagement Survey (NSSE and CCSSE)							
2014-15	<b>Comprehensive Satisfaction Project</b>								

Proposal approved to survey 2009-10 graduates to assess alumni's perceptions regarding their engagement in learning activities most closely tied with critical competencies, engagement with faculty/staff/peers during their educational experience, and the extent to which they apply learned competencies in the workplace and/or as they continue their education. With 35% of RSCC graduates in the Allied Health Science field, alumni will also complete a competency assessment that will be embedded within the survey instrument.



### Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 1E: Job Placement

The Job Placement standard is designed to provide incentives for community colleges to continue to improve job placement of their career program graduates.

Roane State Community College

<b>Total Number Programs:</b>	28			Year 2:	Year 2: 2011-12				
Total Placeable	400			<b>Maximum Points:</b>	10				
Total Placed	391			<b>Recommended Points:</b>	10				
Placement Rate	98%								

	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	2011 Grads	Non-	Grads		E	XEMPTION	NS		Total	Total	Percent
				2011 Graus	Respondents	Adjustment	Educ	Medical	Family	Military	Volunteer	Placeable	Placed	Placed
1	06.11.0801.00	WEB PAGE AUTHORING (RODP)	2.1.C1	0		0						0		n/a
2	06.11.0801.00	WEB TECHNOLOGY (RODP)	2.3.AAS	0		0						0		n/a
3	06.110101.00	COMPUTER SCIENCE	2.1.C1	4		4	1					3	3	100%
4	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.3.AAS	3		3						3	3	100%
5	13.21.0101.01	GENERAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	54	3	51	10		2			39	39	100%
6	14.22.0302.00	PARALEGAL STUDIES	2.3.AAS	10	2	8	1		1			6	6	100%
7	27.43.0107.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	2.3 AAS	21	2	19	7	1				11	10	91%
8	27.43.9999.00	HOMELAND SECURITY	2.1.C1	1		1	1					0		n/a
9	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEMS	2.1.C1	4		4	3					1	1	100%
10	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEMS	2.3.AAS	4		4	1	1				2	2	100%
11	31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	12	1	11						11	11	100%
12	31.51.0707.00	HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	10		10	1					9	9	100%
13	31.51.0708.00	MEDICAL TRANSCRIPTION	2.1.C1	8		8	2					6	6	100%
14	31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST.	2.3.AAS	14		14						14	14	100%
15	31.51.0805.00	PHARMACY TECHNICIAN	2.2C1	13		13	3					10	7	70%
16	31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSISTANT	2.3.AAS	15		15						15	15	100%
17	31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC	2.2.C1	25		25						25	25	100%
18	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3.AAS	27	1	26	7	1	1			17	16	94%
19	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3.AAS	11	1	10						10	10	100%
20	31.51.0999.01	DIAGNOSIS & PROCEDURAL CODING	2.2.C1	17	1	16	12					4	3	75%
21	31.51.1099.01	POLYSOMNOGRAPHY TECH	2.1.C1	10		10						10	10	100%
22	31.51.1601.00	NURSING	2.3.AAS	120	4	116	2	2	4			108	107	99%
23	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3.AAS	20	1	19		1				18	16	89%
24	31.51.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3.AAS	13	2	11	3					8	8	100%
25	31.51.3501.00	MASSAGE THERAPY	2.1.C1	12		12	1		2			9	9	100%
26	31.51.9999.01	ALLIED HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3AAS	12		12	1					11	11	100%
27	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS MANAGEMENT TECH	2.3.AAS	32	3	29	3		1			25	25	100%
28	32.52.0299.01	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT	2.3.AAS	32		32	4	2	1			25	25	100%

	Programs Exempt from Job Placement Standard													
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree											
1	08.13.0101.00	TEACHING	2.3.AST											
2	16.24.0101.01	GENERAL EDUCATION	2.3.AA, AS											
3	16.24.0101.01	GENERAL EDUCATION CORE	2.2C2											
4	16.24.0101.01	GENERAL EDUCATION CORE	2.2C2											
5	16.24.0102.02	PROFESSIONAL STUDIES	2.3.AAS											
	Total			504	21	483	63	8	12	0	0	400	391	98%
	Percentage of Non-Respondents				4%									

Note: Please report all non-respondents by program. The 2011 graduates will be adjusted to reflect the removal of non-respondents. Maximum of non-respondents is limited to 5% for all programs.

Institutional Comments (Optional):

## **Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 1F: Assessment Implementation**

The Assessment Implementation standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to develop and sustain a mature and sophisticated assessment process while implementing a Quality Enhancement Plan (QEP) or Student Learning Initiative (SLI).

Roane State Community College									
	Year 2: 2011-12 Maximum Points: mmended Points:	10 8							
Year QEP or SLI		Stage	Title and Description						
2010-11	2010-11         QEP         S           2011-12         QEP         S		The SAILS Project: Students Achieving Improved Learning Strategies						
2011-12			The SAILS Project: Students Achieving Improved Learning Strategies						
2012-13 QEP Susta		a							
2012-13	QEP	Sustaining	The SAILS Project: Students Achieving Improved Learning Strategies						
2012-13 2013-14	QEP QEP	Sustaining	The SAILS Project: Students Achieving Improved Learning Strategies The SAILS Project: Students Achieving Improved Learning Strategies						

## 2011-12 Scoring Rubric: Sustaining QEP/SLI

1. Present a short review of the QEP/SLI activity (Why it was undertaken including goals and objectives?) AND describe the actions for the year that were taken to accomplish goals and objectives. [2 points]

- 2. Describe the assessment taken during the year and present the results of those assessments with the addition of previous results, as they are available. [2 points]
- 3. Discuss how the institution is improving the QEP/SLI based on the assessment results. [2 points]
- 4. Discuss how the institution is improving the QEP/SLI based on the assessment results. [2 points]

### **Institutional Comments (Optional):**

Please place any comments in this text box.

### Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2010-15 Performance Funding Standard 2: Student Access and Student Success

The Student Access and Success standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to increase the number of graduates from select subpopulations. Each institution selected five subpopulations particularly important to their mission and this standard measures the quality of its services dedicated to those subpopulations. The measure of the institution's commitment will be student subpopulation success – greater number enrolled, retained, and graduated.

### **Roane State Community College**



Maximum Points: 25 Recommended Points: 25

		-		Year 2: 2011-12					
No.	Sub-Population	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	3 Yr Avg Benchmark	2010-11 Attainment	Percent Attained	Pts Recommended	
1	Adults	406	373	432	404	524	100%	5	
2	Low Income	378	366	411	385	453	100%	5	
3	Males	204	187	240	210	282	100%	5	
4	Health Programs	289	288	314	297	359	100%	5	
5	High Need Fields: Teaching AST and Contemporary Management	32	62	83	59	93	100%	5	

### Institutional Comments:

Please place any comments in this text box.

#### High Need Fields

	Grand Total	32	62	83	93
52.0299	Contemporary Mgmt AAS	26	32	41	34
13.0101	Teaching AST	6	30	42	59
2010 CIP Code	Academic Program	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11

2000 CIP Code	Health: Academic Programs	Degree Level	2009-10	2010-11
31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	12	12
31.51.0707.00	MEDICAL RECORDS TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	7	10
31.51.0708.00	MEDICAL TRANSCRIPTION	2.1 C1	13	11
31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST.	2.3 AAS	21	15
31.51.0805.00	PHARMACY TECHNICIAN	2.2 C1	14	13
31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSISTANT	2.3 AAS	19	18
31.51.0904.00	EMT/PARAMEDIC	2.2 C1	14	35
31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	34	27
31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3 AAS	12	11
31.51.0999.01	DIAGNOSIS & PROCEDURAL CODING	2.1 C1	14	20
31.51.1099.01	POLYSOMNOGRAPHY TECHNOLOGY	2.1 C1	14	19
31.51.1601.00	NURSING	2.3 AAS	99	125
31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3 AAS	25	21
31.51.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3 AAS	3	6
31.51.3501.00	MASSAGE THERAPY	2.1 C1	13	10
31.51.9999.01	ALLIED HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3 AAS		6
		Total	314	359