Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Summary of Points Requested

The Quality Assurance Funding program seeks to incentivize meritorious performance, provide a means for assisting the process of student learning and encourage continuous improvement at public community colleges and universities. The 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding cycle standards reflect current state priorities outlined in the 2015-25 Master Plan, guided by the Drive to 55, and continue to challenge institutions to promote the highest standards and strive for excellence.

Roane State Community College



Quality Assurance Funding Standards	Maximum		Reco	Recommended Points 2016-17				
Quality Assurance I unumy Standards	Points	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
I. Student Learning and Engagement	75	71						
General Education Assessment	15	15						
Major Field Assessment	15	15						
Academic Programs: Accreditation and Evaluation	15	12						
Institutional Satisfaction Study	10	9						
Adult Learner Success	10	10						
Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement	10	10						
II. Student Access and Success	25	25						
Total Points	100	96						

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding General Education Assessment

The General Education Assessment standard is designed to provide incentives to institutions for improvements in the quality of their undergraduate general education program as measured by the performance of graduates on an approved standardized test of general education.

Roane State Community College



Maximum Points: 15
Recommended Points: 15

Year 1: 2015-16

Assessment:ETS Proficiency ProfileTotal Eligible Graduates:962Sampling Plan:All Graduates TestedTotal Graduates Tested:875

Percent of Eligible Graduates Tested: 91%

Graduates in Score Report: 859

National	Norm Comparison	(Maximum 15 po	ints in Years 1-3 a	nd 10 points in Ye	ars 4-5)
Mean Score	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Institution	441.6				
National*	438.9				
Difference (Institution - Natl.)	2.72				
% Institution to Natl. Average	101%				

	Institutional Trends Comparison (Maximum 5 points	in Years 4-5)	
Mean Score		2018-19	2019-20
Institution			
3 Yr Average			
Diff (Inst - Avg)		0.0	0.0
% Inst to 3 Yr. Avg			

Institutional Comments:

Not Included (n = 87) are 5 students who took the exam in a previous semester. Also,

Reverse Transfer-66

Student no longer lives in this area-6

Student has not attended for > 1 year-5

Student has transferred to another college and has no intentions of returning to RSCC-5

*ETS Proficiency Profile Institutional Mean Score comprised of scores from all students at Associate Degree Colleges from July 2010 to June 2015.

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Major Field Assessment

The Major Field Assessment standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of major field programs as evaluated by the performance of graduates on approved examinations.

Roane State Community College



Maximum Points: 15
Recommended Points: 15

Year 1: 2015-16

			Lice	nsure Pro	grams Re	ported	Annually					
						No.	No.	%	No.	Inst Pass	Comp	% Inst to Comp
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	Grads	Tested	Tested	Passed	Rate	Pass Rate	Pass Rate*
1	31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2016	NDDHE	11	12	100%	12	100.0%	95.2%	100%
_		HEALTH INFORMATION										
-	31.51.0707.00	TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2015	RHIT	18	16	89%	14	87.5%	70.0%	100%
3	31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST.	2.3 AAS	2015	OTRE	21	22	100%	21	95.5%	79.0%	100%
4	31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSISTANT	2.3 AAS	2015	NPTE	19	18	95%	17	94.4%	84.2%	100%
5	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2015	ASRT	28	28	100%	26	92.9%	88.4%	100%
6	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3 AAS	2015	NBRCE	9	9	100%		-	-	
7	31.51.0909.00	SURGICAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2015	CST	0	0	0%	-			
8	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3 AAS	2016	NOCE	19	18	95%	17	94.4%	56.0%	100%
9	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3 AAS	2015	NCLEX	66	67	100%	62	92.5%	84.5%	100%

			Prograi	ns Repor	ted Once l	During 5	Year Cy	cle			
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	No. Grads	No. Tested	% Tested	Inst Score	Comp Score	% Inst to Comp Score*
1	08.13.0101.00	TEACHING	2.3 AST	2015-16	PRAXIS	9	5	56%	166.8	162.2	
2	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS	2.3 AAS	2015-16	Local	24	35	100%	76.1	74.61	100%
3	32.52.0299.01	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT	2.3 AAS	2015-16	Local	12	7	58%	69.6	65.79	
4	32.52.0803.00	FINANCIAL SERVICES	2.3 AAS	2018-19	Local						
5	31.51.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3 AAS	2018-19	Local						
6	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2018-19	Local						
7	31.51.2706.00	MEDICAL INFORMATICS	2.3 AAS	2019-20	Local						
8	27.43.0104.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	2.3 AAS	2019-20	Local						
9	06.11.0101.00	COMPUTER INFO TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2019-20	Local						
	Average insti	tution pass rate/score to comp	arison pas	s rate/sco	re						100%

^{*} Maximum of 100% used of scoring

		Program Exemptions	for 2015-20 (Cycle
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Exemption
1	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.3 AAS	Low Producing
2	14.22.0302.00	PARALEGAL STUDIES	2.3 AAS	Low Producing
3	16.24.0101.01	UNIVERSITY PARALLEL	2.3 AA, AS	Multidisciplinary
4	21.30.0000.00	GENERAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3AAS	Multidisciplinary
5	28.45.0702.00	SYSTEMS	2.3 AAS	Low Producing
6	30.50.0903.00	FINE ARTS	2.3 AFA	Oriented
7	31.51.9999.01	ALLIED HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3 AAS	Multidisciplinary

2014-15 Licensure Results

1	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	Grads	Tested	Tested	Passed	Rate	Pass Rate	Pass Rate
2												
	31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2013-14	NBDHE	11	11	100%	11	100.0%	95.0%	100%
,		HEALTH INFORMATION										
3	31.51.0707.00	TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS									
4	31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST.	2.3 AAS	2012-13	OTRE	18	17	94%	13	76.5%	81.0%	94%
5	31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSISTANT	2.3 AAS	2012-13	NPTE	20	16	80%	16	100.0%	79.1%	100%
6	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2012-13	ASRT	26	26	100%	25	96.2%	89.6%	100%
7	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3 AAS	2012-13	NBRCE	9	9	100%	6	66.67	79.36	84%
8	31.51.0909.00	SURGICAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS									
9	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3 AAS	2013-14	NOCE	20	20	100%	20	100	58	100%
	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3 AAS	2014	NCLEX	94	94	100%	79	84.04	86.58	97%

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Major Field Assessment



Academic Program TeacHING BUSINESS Local Local Local Institutional Mean (local) or National Mean (local) or National Mean (Standardized Test) 162.2 74.81 65.79				Year 1: 2015-16
Academic Program TeacHING BUSINESS Local Local Local Institutional Mean (local) or National Mean (local) or National Mean (Standardized Test) 162.2 74.81 65.79				
Institutional Mean (local) or 162.2 74.61 65.79	Academic Program	TEACHING	BUSINESS	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT
National Mean (Standardized Test) 162.2 74.61 65.79 2015-16 Average 166.8 76.1 69.6 100% 1000% 1	Test Code	PRAXIS	Local	Local
% Inst to Comparison Score 100% 100% 100% Number of Graduate Scores TEACHING BUSINESS CONTEMPOR MANAGEMEN 1 180 70.0 60.0 2 176 82.5 52.5 3 160 85.0 80.0 4 190 82.5 82.5 5 184 70.0 67.5 6 162 72.5 75.0 7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 90.0 9 160 67.5 5 10 154 72.5 75.0 11 164 80.0 70.0 12 162 50.0 10 12 162 50.0 11 14 164 75.0 14 15 162 67.5 16 17 77.5 18 19 80.0 17 77.5 19 80.0		162.2	74.61	65.79
% Inst to Comparison Score 100% 100% 100% Number of Graduate Scores 15 35 7 TEACHING BUSINESS CONTEMPOR MANAGEMEN MANAG	2015-16 Average	166.8	76.1	69.6
Graduate Scores TEACHING BUSINESS CONTEMPORA MANAGEMER 1 180 70.0 60.0 2 176 82.5 52.5 3 160 85.0 80.0 4 190 82.5 82.5 5 184 70.0 67.5 6 162 72.5 75.0 7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 90.0 9 160 67.5 90.0 10 154 72.5 90.0 11 164 80.0 90.0 12 162 50.0 90.0 90.0 13 172 62.5 90.0 <td></td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td>		100%	100%	100%
Graduate Scores IEACHING BUSINESS MANAGEMEN 1 180 70.0 60.0 2 176 82.5 52.5 3 160 85.0 80.0 4 190 82.5 82.5 5 184 70.0 67.5 6 162 72.5 75.0 7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 90.0 9 160 67.5 5 10 154 72.5 72.5 11 164 80.0 67.5 12 162 50.0 62.5 13 172 62.5 62.5 14 164 75.0 62.5 15 162 67.5 67.5 16 99.0 99.0 99.0 19 80.0 90.0 99.0 21 84.5 92.5 95.0 22 99.0	Number of Graduate Scores	15	35	7
2 176 82.5 52.5 3 160 85.0 80.0 4 190 82.5 82.5 5 184 70.0 67.5 6 162 72.5 75.0 7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 90.0 9 160 67.5 50.0 10 154 72.5 11 11 164 80.0 62.5 12 162 50.0 62.5 14 164 75.0 62.5 14 164 75.0 62.5 15 162 67.5 67.5 16 95.0 67.5 67.5 18 90.0 90.0 90.0 19 80.0 90.0 90.0 21 84.5 92.5 22 95.0 95.0 23 95.0 92.5 24 92.5 75.0 26 62.5 75.0 28 62.5 29 30 80.0 30 31 75.0 32 29 95.0 30 30 <	Graduate Scores	TEACHING	BUSINESS	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT
3 160 85.0 80.0 4 190 82.5 82.5 5 184 70.0 67.5 6 162 72.5 75.0 7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 90.0 9 160 67.5 10 154 72.5 11 164 80.0 12 162 50.0 13 172 62.5 14 164 75.0 15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 39 40	1			60.0
4 190 82.5 82.5 5 184 70.0 67.5 6 162 72.5 75.0 7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 9 9 160 67.5 10 10 154 72.5 11 11 164 80.0 12 12 162 50.0 13 13 172 62.5 14 14 164 75.0 16 15 162 67.5 16 17 77.5 18 99.0 19 80.0 70.0 19 20 70.0 20 70.0 20 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 23 22 95.0 95.0 25 25 75.0 26 25 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 96.0 30 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 33	2	176	82.5	52.5
5 184 70.0 67.5 6 162 72.5 75.0 7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 90.0 9 160 67.5 10 10 154 72.5 11 11 164 80.0 12 12 162 50.0 12 13 172 62.5 14 14 164 75.0 15 15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 18 90.0 90.0 19 80.0 20 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 23 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 25 25 75.0 62.5 29 30 80.0 80.0 30 31 75.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 30 30 32 95.0 33 77.5 34 34 52.5 35 35 36 37 38 39 <tr< td=""><td>3</td><td></td><td>85.0</td><td></td></tr<>	3		85.0	
6	4	190	82.5	82.5
7 160 80.0 70.0 8 152 90.0 9 160 67.5 10 154 72.5 11 162 50.0 11 164 80.0 112 162 50.0 113 172 62.5 114 164 75.0 115 62 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37	5			
8				
9 160 67.5 10 154 72.5 11 164 80.0 112 162 50.0 13 172 62.5 14 164 75.0 15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 77.5 34 77.5 34 77.5 35 77.5 36 77.5 36 77.5 37 77.5 38 77.5 38 77.5 39 95.0 30 80.0 31 77.5 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40	7		80.0	70.0
10		152		
11 164 80.0 12 162 50.0 13 172 62.5 14 164 75.0 15 162 67.5 16 95.0 77.5 18 90.0 90.0 19 80.0 70.0 21 84.5 22 23 95.0 23 24 92.5 25 25 75.0 26 27 72.5 28 29 95.0 30.0 30 80.0 30.0 31 75.0 32 32 60.0 33 34 52.5 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	9	160	67.5	
12 162 50.0 13 172 62.5 14 164 75.0 15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	10	154	72.5	
13 172 62.5 14 164 75.0 15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	11	164	80.0	
14 164 75.0 15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	12	162	50.0	
15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	13	172	62.5	
15 162 67.5 16 95.0 17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	14	164	75.0	
17 77.5 18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 42 43	15	162		
18 90.0 19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 42 43	16		95.0	
19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 75.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39	17			
19 80.0 20 70.0 21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 75.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 40 41 42 42 43	18		90.0	
21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 42 43	19			
21 84.5 22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 42 43				
22 95.0 23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43				
23 95.0 24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	22			
24 92.5 25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43				
25 75.0 26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	-			
26 62.5 27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43				
27 72.5 28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 42 43 43	-			
28 62.5 29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 42 43				
29 95.0 30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43				
30 80.0 31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 40 41 42 42 43				
31 75.0 32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 40 41 42 43	-			
32 60.0 33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 40 41 42 43				
33 77.5 34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 40 41 42 43				
34 52.5 35 62.5 36 37 38 39 40 41 41 42 43				
35 62.5 36 62.5 37 38 62.5 40 41 42 43				
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43				
37 38 39 40 41 42 43			32.0	
38 39 40 41 42 43				
39 40 41 42 43				
40 41 42 43				
41 42 43				
42 43				
43				
	44			
45				

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Academic Programs: Accreditation

The Academic Programs standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence and accreditation.

Roane State Community College



Total Accreditable Programs: 19 Maximum Points: 5
Accredited Programs: 17 REcommended Points: 5
Programs Seeking Accreditation: 2
Percent Accredited: 100%

								Year 1:	2015-16
				Acc	reditation				
				Accred	ited Programs				
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree Level	Accrediting	Accreditation	Accreditation	Next Site	Accreditation	Accredited
	2010 CIF	Academic Frogram	Degree Level	Agency	Cycle - Begin	Cycle - End	Visit	Letter Date	Accredited
		EARLY CHILDHOOD					Accreditation		
1	12.19.0706.00	EDUCATION	2.3 AAS	NAEYC	2014		Report due Sept 30 2015	14-Jun-16	Yes
2	14.22.0302.00	PARALEGAL STUDIES	2.3 AAS	ABA	2014	2019	2019	12-Feb-14	Yes
2	14.22.0302.00	DENTAL HYGIENE	2.3 AA3	ADA	2012	2019	2019	12-F60-14	165
3	31.51.0602.00	TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	ADA	2014	2020	2020	12-Aug-14	Yes
٠	01.01.0002.00	HEALTH INFORMATION	2.07010	/ LD/ C	2014	2020	2020	12-7 tag-14	103
4	31.51.0707.00	TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	CAHIIM	2005	On-going		On-going	Yes
		OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY						ŭ	
5	31.51.0803.00	ASST.	2.3 AAS	AOTA	2014	2023	2023-24	28-Aug-14	Yes
6	31.51.0805.00	PHARMACY TECHNICIAN	2.2 C1	ASHEP	2014	2020	2020	22-Sep-14	Yes
		PHYSICAL THERAPY							
7	31.51.0806.00	ASSISTANT	2.3 AAS	APTA	2010	2020	2019	8-Dec-10	Yes
8	31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC	2.2 C1	CAAHEP	2012	2017	2017	20-Jul-12	Yes
9	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	JCERT	2014	2022	2022	20-Aug-15	Yes
10	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3 AAS	CAAHEP	2011	2021	2021	19-Nov-11	Yes
11	31.51.0909.00	SURGICAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	CAAHEP	2016	2021	2021	19-Sep-16	Yes
		POLYSOMNOGRAPHY							
12	31.51.1099.01	TECHNOLOGY	2.2 C1	CAAHEP	2015	2025	2025	18-May-15	Yes
13	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3 AAS	COA	2014	2020	2020	29-Sep-14	Yes
14	31.51.3501.00	MASSAGE THERAPY	2.2 C1	COMTA	2010	2017	2017	1-Apr-10	Yes
15	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3 AAS	ACEN	2015	2023	Spring 2023	29-Jul-15	Yes
16	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS	2.3 AAS	ACBSP	2014	2024	2024	8-Dec-14	Yes
17	32.52.0299.01	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT	2.3 AAS	ACBSP	2014	2024	2024	8-Dec-14	Yes
	02.02.0200.01		2.07710		eking Accreditation		2021	0 200 11	100
				Accrediting	oning / tool ountain	···			
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree Level	Agency		Accred	litation Timeli	ne	
,				<u> </u>	Program was appro	ved in Fall 2014. Firs		-	itation expected
1	32.52.0803.00	FINANCIAL SERVICES	2.3 AAS	ACBSP	3 appro		2017.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	ATMAE	New program appr	oved Aug 2015 with	implentation Fal Summer 2019.	l 2015. Program pr	eparing for site
-			1						

			Embed	ded Programs*		
	2010 CIP	Certificate Program	Degree Level	2010 CIP	Associate Program	Degree Level
1	12.19.0706.01	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.1 C1	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.3 AAS
2	31.51.0999.01	DIAGNOSIS & PROCEDURAL CODING	2.2 C1	31.51.0707.00	HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS
3	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS	2.2 C1	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS

^{*}Embedded Programs are technical certificates whose curriculum, content and requirements are contained within the greater requirements of a related associate degree program. The related degree program assumes responsibility for quality control and assurance.

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Academic Programs: Program Evaluation

The Academic Programs standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence and accreditation.

Roane State Community College



Maximum Points: 1
Recommended Points:

					Program E								
					Undergraduat	e Programs	\$						
201	10 CIP	Academic Program	Degree Level	2010-15 Evaluation	2015-20 Cycle Schedule	2015-20 Evaluation	Total Standards	"NA" Standards	Rating of 0	Rating of 1	Rating of 2	Rating of 3	Average*
30.50.	.0903.00	FINE ARTS	2.3 AFA		2015-16	AA	20	0	0	3	11	6	2.2
31.51.	.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3 AAS	AA	2015-16	AA	22	0	0	4	9	9	2.2
16.24.	.0101.01	UNIVERSITY PARALLEL	2.3 AA, AS	AA	2016-17	AA							
31.51.	.9999.01	ALLIED HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3 AAS	AA	2017-18	AA							
28.45.	.0702.00	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	2.3 AAS	AA	2016-17	AA							
31.51.	.2706.00	MEDICAL INFORMATICS	2.3 AAS	-	2017-18	AA							
21.30.	.0000.00	GENERAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	PR	2018-19	PR							
31.51.	.0708.00	HEALTH CARE DOCUMENTATION SPECIALIST	2.2 C1		2018-19	AA							
31.51.	.0904.02	EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN	2.1 C1	-	2018-19	AA							
31.51.	.0904.03	ADVANCED EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN	2.1 C1	1	2018-19	AA							
	.0101.00	COMPUTER INFO TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	AA	2019-20	AA							
		TEACHING	2.3 AST	AA	2019-20	AA							
27.43.	.0104.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	2.3 AAS	PR	2019-20	PR							
29.48.	.0508.00	WELDING	2.1 C1		2019-20								
Under	rgradua	te Programs Total					42	0	0	7	20	15	2.2

				I.D. 44		
			Embedded	l Programs**		
	2010 CIP	Certificate Program	Degree Level	2010 CIP	Associate Program	Degree Level
1	06.11.0101.00	COMPUTER SCIENCE	2.1 C2	06.11.0101.00	COMPUTER INFO TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS
2	16.24.0101.01	GENERAL EDUCATION CORE	2.2 C2	16.24.0101.01	GENERAL EDUCATION	2.3 AA, AS
3	28.45.0702.00	SYSTEMS	2.1 C1	28.45.0702.00	SYSTEMS	2.3 AAS

Academic Audit (AA) Rubric	Academic Audit Standards		
Not Evident	0 Points	Level	Initial	Subsequent
Emerging	1 Point	Undergraduate	20	22
Established	2 Points			
Highly Developed	3 Points			

Program Revi	ew (PR) Rubric	Program Review St	andards
Poor	0 Points	Level	Standards
Fair	1 Point	Certificate and Associate	25
Good	2 Points		
Excellent	3 Points		

^{*}Average calculated by multiplying the count of standards with a Rating of 0, 1, 2 and 3 by the number of points attributed to each rating divided by the total number of applicable standards.

^{**}Embedded Programs are technical certificates whose curriculum, content and requirements are contained within the greater requirements of a related associate degree program. The related degree program assumes responsibility for quality control and assurance.

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Institutional Satisfaction

The Institutional Satisfaction standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of their under programs as evaluated by surveys of students at different points in their academic career.

Roane State Community College

Schedule

Maximum Points: Recommended Points:

Year 1: 2015-16

Survey of Entering Student Engagement (SENSE)

Year 2: 2016-17

THEC

Community College Survey of Student Engagement

Year 3: 2017-18

SENSE & Qualitative Report

Year 4: 2018-19

Community College Survey of Student Engagement

Year 5: 2019-20

Comprehensive Satisfaction Report

Year 1: 20

Survey of Entering Student Engagement						
2015-16 SENSE Engagement Themes	Institution	Peer Group*	Effect Size**			
EARLY CONNECTIONS						
18a. The very first time I came to this college I felt welcome	4.0	4.0				
18i. The college provided me with adequate information about financial assistance (scholarships, grants, loans, etc.)	3.4	3.5				
18j. A college staff member helped me determine whether I qualified for financial assistance	3.0	3.1				
HIGH EXPECTATIONS AND ASPIRATIONS						
18b. The instructors at this college want me to succeed	4.2	4.3				
CLEAR ACADEMIC PLAN AND PATHWAY						
18e. An advisor helped me to select a course of study, program, or major	3.8	3.7				
18f. An advisor helped me to set academic goals and to create a plan for achieving them	3.3	3.3				
18g. An advisor helped me to identify the courses I needed to take during my first semester/quarter	4.0	3.9				
18h. A college staff member talked with me about my commitments outside of school (work, children, dependents, etc.) to help me figure out how many courses to take	2.7	2.9				
EFFECTIVE TRACK TO COLLEGE READINESS						
21a. I learned to improve my study skills (listening, note taking, highlighting readings, working with others, etc.)	3.9	4.0				
21b. I learned to understand my academic strengths and weaknesses	3.8	3.9				
21c. I learned skills and strategies to improve my test-taking ability	3.4	3.6	-0.21			
ENGAGED LEARNING						
19a. Ask questions in class or contribute to class discussions	2.7	2.8	-0.21			
19b. Prepare at least two drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in	2.0	2.1	-0.21			
19e. Participate in supplemental instruction (extra class sessions with an instructor, tutor, or experienced student)	1.5	1.5				
19g. Work with other students on a project or assignment during class	2.3	2.5				
19h. Work with classmates outside of class on class projects or assignments	1.6	1.6				

Survey of Entering	Survey of Entering Student Engagement							
2015-16 SENSE Engagement Themes	Institution	Peer Group*	Effect Size**					
19i. Participate in a required study group outside of class	1.2	1.3						
19j. Participate in a student-initiated (not required) study group outside of class	1.2	1.3						
19l. Use an electronic tool (e-mail, text messaging, Facebook, MySpace, class website, etc.) to communicate with an instructor about coursework	2.2	2.3						
19m. Discuss an assignment or grade with an instructor	1.9	2.1	-0.20					
19n. Ask for help from an instructor regarding questions or problems related to a class	2.2	2.4						
19o. Receive prompt written or oral feedback from instructors on your performance	2.1	2.4	-0.26					
19q. Discuss ideas from readings or classes with instructors outside of class	1.4	1.6						
19r. Discuss ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of class (students, family, co-workers, etc.)	2.1	2.2						
20f. Use writing, math, or other skill lab	1.9	1.7						
20h. Use computer lab	2.5	2.1	0.40					
20d. Use face-to-face tutoring	1.3	1.3						
ACADEMIC AND SOCIAL SUPPORT NETWORK								
18l. All instructors clearly explained academic and student support services available at this college	3.8	3.9						
18m. All instructors clearly explained course grading policies	4.2	4.3						
18n. All instructors clearly explained course syllabi	4.4	4.4						
Total								

^{*}Peer group determined by the Center for Community College Student Engagement based on institutional enrollment size administration term.

Institution Peer Group Classification

Small Colleges (fewer than 4,499 students)
Medium Colleges (4,500-7,999 students)
Large Colleges (8,000-14,999 students)
Extra-Large Colleges (15,000 or more students)

Institution Fall 2015 enrollment: 6,214

^{**}Effect Size: Center for Community College Student Engagement considers a difference in mean to be significant when the effect size of -.20 or greater.

graduate

10

9

15-16

13-10
Points
1
1
1
1
1
<u> </u>
1
1
1
1
1
1
0
0
0
1
1
1

Points
1
_
1
1
0
_
1
0
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
25

during the

nere is an

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Adult Learner Success

The Adult Learner Success standard is designed to incentive institutions to qualitatively and quantitatively improve services for adult learners. The standard directs institutions to enhance the quality of adult student services in an effort to increase the enrollment, retention and completion of adult learners.

Roane State Community College



Maximum Points: 10
Recommended Points: 10

Year 1: 2015-16

Qualitative: Self Assessment		
Submission of a Self-Assessment that includes the current state of adult learner access and	Points	
success including baseline quantitative and qualitative measures.	Possible	Points
Comprehensive introduction to the campus environment for adult learners that includes:		
o Overview of how serving adult learners is incorporated into the institution's unique mission		
o Explanation of how serving adult learners aligns with the state high education master plan	1	1
o Information on how data regarding adult learner experiences are currently collected and evaluated		
Thorough analysis of baseline quantitative measures including:		
o Number of adult learners enrolled		
o Adult learner completion rates	1	1
o First year retention rates		
o Prior Learning Assessment (PLA) utilization rates		
In-depth analysis of qualitative measures including: Evaluation or the inventory or academic and co-curricular programs and services provided specifically to adult learners Explanation of resources and professional development opportunities provided to staff and faculty to better serve adult learners Status of institutional policy and practice alignment with the Recommended Standards in PLA Policy	1	1
and Practice for Tennessee Public Colleges and Universities		
Conduct a survey among the adult learner population and utilize the results of the survey to assess student perceptions of the following qualitative measures.	Points Possible	Points
Detailed explanation of the adult learner survey including design, methodology, and distribution process	1	1
Thorough analysis of adult student perceptions of:		
o Course availability and value		
o Campus culture and outreach to adult students	4	1
o Institutional support services and resources	'	1
o Policies and procedures concerning prior learning assessment		
o Quality of coursework and faculty		
Insightful examination of survey findings including response rates, trends and institutional strengths and weaknesses	2	2
Total	7	7

	Quantitative								
	Quantitate Metric	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	3 Yr. Avg Benchmark	2014-15	Percent Attained*	Points	
1	Adult Learner Graduates	506	492	500	499	482	97%	3	

*Maximum of 100% attained used for scoring.

Data Source: THEC Student Information System

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement

The Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement standard is designed to provide incentives for community colleges to continue to improve job placement of graduates.

Roane State Community College



Maximum Points: 10
Recommended Points: 10

			i cai i.	2010-10
2013-14	2014-15	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
545				
75				
58				
31				
ennessee J	ob Market			
10				
318				
328				
		·	·	
97%				
	545 75 58 31 Tennessee J 10 318 328	545 75 58 31 Fennessee Job Market 10 318 328	545 75 58 31 Fennessee Job Market 10 318 328	2013-14 2014-15 2016-17 2017-18 545 75 58 31 Cennessee Job Market 10 318 328

^{*} Total Graduates equals the graduates for academic year, excluding University Parallel (16.24.0101) and Professional Studies (16.24.0102) degrees and certificates.

^{**}Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement Rate is calculated by dividing the Graduates Employed Fulltime by the Graduates Engaged in the Tennessee Job Market.

Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Student Access and Success

The Student Access and Success standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to increase the number of graduates from select focus populations. Institutions select those focus populations particularly important to the institution's mission and measure the quality of services dedicated to those students. The measure of institutional success is an increase in the focus population graduation rate.

Roane State Community College



Maximum Points: 25
Recommended Points: 25

Year 1: 2015-16

	Focus Population	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	3 Yr. Avg Benchmark	2014-15	Percent Attained*	Points Recommended
1	Ethnic Minorities	53	46	60	53	55	104%	5
2	Geographic High Need Area	407	402	429	413	424	103%	5
3	Low Income	596	607	646	616	656	106%	5
4	Males	307	282	324	304	352	116%	5
5	STEM Programs	3	3	22	9	23	246%	5

Ethnic Minorities	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	3 Yr. Avg	2014-15
African American	17	19	15	17	15
Alaskan Native/Native American		3	4	4	3
Asian/Pacific Islander		10	7	9	7
Hispanic	12	11	22	15	21
Multiracial		3	12	8	9
Other (excluding Unknown)	24			24	
Total	53	46	60	53	55

Geographic High Need Area	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	3 Yr. Avg	2014-15
Campbell County	62	63	61	62	61
Cumberland County	89	74	83	82	100
Fentress County	24	23	27	25	23
Morgan County	44	38	53	45	54
Roane County	136	153	132	140	135
Scott County	52	51	73	59	51
Total	407	402	429	413	424

STEM Programs	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	3 Yr. Avg	2014-15
11. Computer & Information Sciences	3	3	4	3	2
15. Engineering Technology	NA	NA	18	18	21
Total	3	3	22	9	23

*Maximum of 100% attained used for scoring. Data Source: THEC Student Information System