## Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Summary of Points Recommended

The Quality Assurance Funding program seeks to incentivize meritorious performance, provide a means for assisting the process of student learning and encourage continuous improvement at public community colleges and universities. The 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding cycle standards reflect current state priorities outlined in the 2015-25 Master Plan, guided by the Drive to 55, and continue to challenge institutions to promote the highest standards and strive for excellence.

### **Roane State Community College**



Quality Accurance Funding Standards	Maximum		Reco	mmended I	Points	
Quality Assurance Funding Standards	Points	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
I. Student Learning and Engagement	75	71	72	69		
General Education Assessment	15	15	15	15		
Major Field Assessment	15	15	15	14		
Academic Programs: Accreditation and Evaluation	15	12	12	12		
Institutional Satisfaction Study	10	9	10	10		
Adult Learner Success	10	10	10	8		
Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement	10	10	10	10		
II. Student Access and Success	25	25	25	16		
Total Points	100	96	97	85		

Year 3: 2017-18

# Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding General Education Assessment

The General Education Assessment standard is designed to provide incentives to institutions for improvements in the quality of their undergraduate general education program as measured by the performance of graduates on an approved standardized test of general education.

# **Roane State Community College**



Maximum Points: 15

Recommended Points: 15

Year 3: 2017-18

Assessment:ETS Proficiency ProfileSampling Plan:All Graduates Tested

Total Eligible Graduates: 914

Total Graduates Tested: 882

Percent of Eligible Graduates Tested: 96%

Graduates in Score Report: 873

National	National Norm Comparison (Maximum 15 points in Years 1-3 and 10 points in Years 4-5)									
Mean Score	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20					
Institution	441.6	438.96	439.5							
National*	438.9	438.3	438.5							
Difference (Institution - Natl.)	2.72	0.66	0.99							
% Institution to Natl. Average	101%	100%	100%							

	Institutional Trends Comparison (Maximum 5 points	in Years 4-5)	
Mean Score		2018-19	2019-20
Institution			
3 Yr Average			
Diff (Inst - Avg)		0.0	0.0
% Inst to 3 Yr. Avg			

## Institutional Comments:

The Chief Academic Officer granted a total of 33 waivers for following reasons: Students has a B.S. degree (2) Students that had not attended RSCC is more than a year and only needed the exam to graduate (24) Students were reconnect student (3); Students had transfered to 4-year schools (2) Students moved out of state (2)

\*ETS Proficiency Profile Institutional Mean Score comprised of scores from all students at Associate Degree Colleges from July 2012 to June 2017.

### Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Major Field Assessment

The Major Field Assessment standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of major field programs as evaluated by the performance of graduates on approved examinations.

Roane State Community College

**THEC** 

ollege

Maximum Points:

15

14

**Recommended Points:** 

											Year 3:	2017-18
			Lice	nsure Pro	grams Re	ported A	Annually					
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	No. Grads	No. Tested	% Tested	No. Passed	Inst Pass Rate	Comp Pass Rate	% Inst to Comp Pass Rate*
1	31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2018	NDDHE	11	11	100%	11	100.0%	94.9%	100%
2	31.51.0707.00	HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2017	RHIT	16	15	94%	11	73.3%	70.0%	100%
3	31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST.	2.3 AAS	2017	OTRE	28	26	93%	24	92.3%	80.0%	100%
4	31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPIST ASSISTANT	2.3 AAS	2017	NPTE	19	19	100%	15	78.9%	87.6%	90%
5	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2017	ASRT	30	29	97%	29	100.0%	89.3%	100%
6	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY CARE	2.3 AAS	2017	NBRCE	11	9	82%	8	88.9%	81.5%	
7	31.51.0909.00	SURGICAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2108	CST	5	5	100%	5	100.0%	77.9%	
8	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3 AAS	2018	NOCE	17	17	100%	17	100.0%	61.0%	100%
9	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3 AAS	2017	NCLEX	72	71	99%	67	94.4%	87.1%	100%

			Progra	ms Repor	ted Once	During 5	Year Cy	cle				
ſ						No.	No.	%			Comp	% Inst to Comp
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	Grads	Tested	Tested		Inst Score	Score	Score*
1	08.13.0101.00	TEACHING	2.3 AST	2015-16	PRAXIS	9	5	56%		166.8	162.2	
2	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS	2.3 AAS	2015-16	Local	24	35	100%		76.1	74.61	100%
3	32.52.0299.01	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT	2.3 AAS	2015-16	Local	12	7	58%		69.6	65.79	
4	32.52.0803.00	FINANCIAL SERVICES	2.3 AAS	2018-19	Local							
5	31.51.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3 AAS	2018-19	Local							
6	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2018-19	Local							
7	31.51.2706.00	MEDICAL INFORMATICS	2.3 AAS	2019-20	Local							
8	27.43.0104.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	2.3 AAS	2019-20	Local							
9	06.11.0101.00	COMPUTER INFO TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2019-20	Local							
	Average instit	tution pass rate/score to compa	arison pass	s rate/sco	re							99%
									* Maxi	mum of 100	% used of s	coring

		Program Exemptions f	or 2015-20 (	Cycle
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Exemption
1	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.3 AAS	Low Producing
2	14.22.0302.00	PARALEGAL STUDIES	2.3 AAS	Low Producing
3	16.24.0101.01	UNIVERSITY PARALLEL	2.3 AA, AS	Multidisciplinary
4	21.30.0000.00	GENERAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3AAS	Multidisciplinary
5	28.45.0702.00	SYSTEMS	2.3 AAS	Low Producing
6	30.50.0903.00	FINE ARTS	2.3 AFA	Performance Oriented
7	31.51.9999.01	HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3 AAS	Multidisciplinary

### 2016-17 Licensure Results

	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Test Year	Test Type	Grads	Tested	Tested	Passed	Rate	Pass Rate	Pass Rate
1	31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2017	NDDHE	8	7	88%	7	100.0%	95.2%	
2	31.51.0707.00	HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2016	RHIT	11	11	100%	9	81.8%	67.0%	100%
3	31.51.0803.00	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST.	2.3 AAS	2016	OTRE	26	25	96%	24	96.0%	76.0%	100%
4	31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPIST ASSISTANT	2.3 AAS	2016	NPTE	18	20	100%	19	95.0%	87.3%	100%
5	31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2016	ASRT	28	28	100%	26	92.9%	87.2%	100%
6	31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY THERAPY	2.3 AAS	2016	NBRCE	8	10	100%	10	100.0%	81.7%	100%
7	31.51.0909.00	SURGICAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	2017	CST	8	8	100%	8	100.0%	76.9%	
8	31.51.1801.00	OPTICIANRY	2.3 AAS	2017	NOCE	19	17	89%	16	94.1%	68.0%	100%
9	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3 AAS	2016	NCLEX	82	82	100%	76	92.7%	84.6%	100%

## Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Academic Programs: Accreditation

The Academic Programs standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence and accreditation.

		Ro	oane State C	ommunity Colleg	e			
索1	HEC		Acc Programs See	ditable Programs: credited Programs: king Accreditation: rcent Accredited:	<b>19</b> 17 2 <b>100%</b>		laximum Points: nmended Points:	5 5
							Year 3:	2017-18
				creditation				
			Accred	lited Programs Accreditation	Accreditation	Next Site	Accreditation	
2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree Level	Agency	Cycle - Begin	Cycle - End	Visit	Letter Date	Accredited
12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.3 AAS	NAEYC	2014	2021	2021	14-Jun-16	Yes
14.22.0302.00	PARALEGAL STUDIES	2.3 AAS	ABA	2012	2019	2019	12-Feb-14	Yes
31.51.0602.00	DENTAL HYGIENE TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	ADA	2014	2020	2020	12-Aug-14	Yes
31.51.0707.00	HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	CAHIIM	2005	On-going		On-going	Yes
	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY							
31.51.0803.00	ASST.	2.3 AAS	AOTA	2014	2023	2023-24	28-Aug-14	Yes
31.51.0805.00	PHARMACY TECHNICIAN	2.2 C1	ASHEP	2014	2020	2020	22-Sep-14	Yes
31.51.0806.00	PHYSICAL THERAPIST ASSISTANT	2.3 AAS	APTA	2010	2020	2019	8-Dec-10	Yes
31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC	2.2 C1	CAAHEP	2012	2017	2017	20-Jul-12	Yes
31.51.0907.00	RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	JCERT	2014	2022	2022	20-Aug-15	Yes
31.51.0908.00	RESPIRATORY CARE	2.3 AAS	CAAHEP	2011	2021	2021	19-Nov-11	Yes
31.51.0909.00	SURGICAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	CAAHEP	2016	2021	2021	19-Sep-16	Yes
31.51.1099.01	POLYSOMNOGRAPHY TECHNOLOGY	2.2 C1	CAAHEP	2015	2025	2025	18-May-15	Yes
31.51.1801.00		2.3 AAS	COA	2014	2020	2020	29-Sep-14	Yes
31.51.3501.00	MASSAGE THERAPY	2.2 C1	COMTA	2017	2024	2024	24-Jul-17	Yes
31.51.3801.00		2.3 AAS	ACEN	2015	2023	Spring 2023	29-Jul-15	Yes
32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS	2.3 AAS	ACBSP	2014	2024	2024	8-Dec-14	Yes
32.52.0299.01	CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT	2.3 AAS	ACBSP	2014	2024	2024	8-Dec-14	Yes
		-	Programs Se	eking Accreditation	on			
2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree Level	Accrediting Agency		Accred	ditation Timeli	ine	
32.52.0803.00	FINANCIAL SERVICES	2.3 AAS	ACBSP	Program was approve November 2018.	ed in Fall 2014. First	graduates in Sp	ring 2016. Accredita	tion expected
09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	ATMAE	New program approv Spring 2020.	ed Aug 2015 with im	plentation Fall 2	015. Program prepa	ring for site visi
			Embed	ded Programs*				
2010 CIP	Certificate Prog	Iram	Degree Level	2010 CIP	As	sociate Progra	am	Degree Leve

*Embedded Programs are technical certificates whose curriculum, content and requirements are contained within the greater requirements of a related associate degree program.
The related degree program assumes responsibility for quality control and assurance.

12.19.0706.00

31.51.0707.00

09.15.0403.00

EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY

HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

2.1 C1

2.2 C1

2.2 C1

12.19.0706.01 EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

MEDICAL CODING

09.15.0403.00 MECHATRONICS

1 2

3

31.51.0999.01

2.3 AAS

2.3 AAS

2.3 AAS

### Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Academic Programs: Program Evaluation

The Academic Programs standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence and accreditation.

### Roane State Community College

# THEC 🎓

Maximum Points: 10 Recommended Points: 7

Year 3: 2017-18

					Program Ev	valuation							
					Undergraduat	e Programs							
	2010 CIP	Academic Program	Degree Level	2010-15 Evaluation	2015-20 Cycle Schedule	2015-20 Evaluation	Total Standards	"NA" Standards	Rating of 0	Rating of 1	Rating of 2	Rating of 3	Average*
1	30.50.0903.00	_	2.3 AFA		2015-16	AA	20	0	0	3	11	6	2.2
2	31.51.2202.00	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TECH	2.3 AAS	AA	2015-16	AA	22	0	0	4	9	9	2.2
3	16.24.0101.01	UNIVERSITY PARALLEL	2.3 AA, AS	AA	2016-17	AA	22	0	0	0	7	15	2.7
4	31.51.9999.01	HEALTH SCIENCES	2.3 AAS	AA	2016-17	AA	22	1	1	6	3	11	2.1
5	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS	2.3 AAS	AA	2017-18	AA	22	0	0	7	17	3	2.3
6	31.51.2706.00	MEDICAL INFORMATICS	2.3 AAS		2017-18	AA	20	0	0	1	8	11	2.5
7	21.30.0000.00	GENERAL TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	PR	2018-19	PR							
8		HEALTH CARE DOCUMENTATION SPECIALIST	2.2 C1		2018-19	PR							
9	31.51.0904.02	EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN	2.1 C1		2018-19	AA							
10	31.51.0904.03		2.1 C1		2018-19	AA							
11	06.11.0101.00	COMPUTER INFO TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	AA	2019-20	AA							
12	08.13.0101.00		2.3 AST	AA	2019-20	AA							
13	27.43.0104.00	CRIMINAL JUSTICE	2.3 AAS	PR	2019-20	PR							
14	29.48.0508.00	WELDING	2.1 C1		2019-20	AA							
	Undergradua	te Programs Total					128	1	1	21	55	55	2.3

	Embedded Programs**					
	2010 CIP	Certificate Program	Degree Level	2010 CIP	Associate Program	Degree Level
1	06.11.0101.00	COMPUTER INFO TECHNOLOGY	2.1 C2	06.11.0101.00	COMPUTER INFO TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS
2	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS	2.1 C1	28.45.0702.00	GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS	2.3 AAS

Academic Audit (	AA) Rubric	Academic Au	dit Standard	ls
Not Evident	0 Points	Level	Initial	Subsequent
Emerging	1 Point	Undergraduate	20	22
Established	2 Points	-		
Highly Developed	3 Points			
Program Review (	PR) Rubric	Program Revie	ew Standard	ds
Poor	0 Points	Level		Standards
Fair	1 Point	Certificate and Associate		25
Good	2 Points			

3 Points

\*Average calculated by multiplying the count of standards with a Rating of 0, 1, 2 and 3 by the number of points attributed to each rating divided by the total number of applicable standards.

\*\* Embedded Programs are technical certificates whose curriculum, content and requirements are contained within the greater requirements of a related associate degree program. The related degree program assumes responsibility for quality control and assurance.

Excellent

# Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Institutional Satisfaction

The Institutional Satisfaction standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of their undergraduate programs as evaluated by surveys of students at different points in their academic career.

## **Roane State Community College**

### Schedule

Year 1: 2015-16 Survey of Entering Student Engagement (SENSE) Year 2: 2016-17 Community College Survey of Student Engagement Year 3: 2017-18 SENSE & Qualitative Report Year 4: 2018-19 Community College Survey of Student Engagement

Year 5: 2019-20 Comprehensive Satisfaction Report

Maximum Points: 10

Year 3: 2017-18

- SENSE Survey Points: 7
- SENSE/CCSSE Analysis Points: 3
- Total Recommended Points: 10



Survey of Entering Student Engagement							
2017-18 SENSE Engagement Themes	Institution	Peer Group*	Effect Size**	Points			
EARLY CONNECTIONS							
18a. The very first time I came to this college I felt welcome	4.13	4.06		1			
18i. The college provided me with adequate information about financial assistance (scholarships, grants, loans, etc.)	3.61	3.53		1			
18j. A college staff member helped me determine whether I qualified for financial assistance	3.29	3.14		1			
HIGH EXPECTATIONS AND ASPIRATIONS							
18b. The instructors at this college want me to succeed	4.32	4.30		1			
CLEAR ACADEMIC PLAN AND PATHWAY							
18e. An advisor helped me to select a course of study, program, or major	3.93	3.75		1			
18f. An advisor helped me to set academic goals and to create a plan for achieving them	3.73	3.35	0.34	1			
18g. An advisor helped me to identify the courses I needed to take during my first semester/quarter	4.28	3.93	0.34	1			
18h. A college staff member talked with me about my commitments outside of school (work, children, dependents, etc.) to help me figure out how many courses to take	3.06	2.98		1			
EFFECTIVE TRACK TO COLLEGE READINESS							
21a. I learned to improve my study skills (listening, note taking, highlighting readings, working with others, etc.)	3.98	4.03		1			
21b. I learned to understand my academic strengths and weaknesses	3.98	3.97		1			
21c. I learned skills and strategies to improve my test-taking ability	3.61	3.68		1			
ENGAGED LEARNING							
19a. Ask questions in class or contribute to class discussions	3.87	2.77		1			
19b. Prepare at least two drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in	2.06	2.09		1			
19e. Participate in supplemental instruction (extra class sessions with an instructor, tutor, or experienced student)	1.51	1.53		1			
19g. Work with other students on a project or assignment during class	2.43	2.47		1			
19h. Work with classmates outside of class on class projects or assignments	1.56	1.60		1			
19i. Participate in a required study group outside of class	1.24	1.30		1			

Survey of Entering Student Engagement						
2017-18 SENSE Engagement Themes	Institution	Peer Group*	Effect Size**	Points		
19j. Participate in a student-initiated (not required) study group outside of class	1.27	1.30		1		
19I. Use an electronic tool (e-mail, text messaging, Facebook, MySpace, class website, etc.) to communicate with an instructor about coursework	2.35	2.26		1		
19m. Discuss an assignment or grade with an instructor	2.07	2.06		1		
19n. Ask for help from an instructor regarding questions or problems related to a class	2.30	2.33		1		
19o. Receive prompt written or oral feedback from instructors on your performance	2.36	2.33		1		
19q. Discuss ideas from readings or classes with instructors outside of class	3.38	3.28		1		
19r. Discuss ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of class (students, family, co-workers, etc.)	2.19	2.14		1		
20f. Use writing, math, or other skill lab	1.69	1.67		1		
20h. Use computer lab	2.32	2.04	0.25	1		
20d. Use face-to-face tutoring	1.28	1.28		1		
ACADEMIC AND SOCIAL SUPPORT NETWORK						
18I. All instructors clearly explained academic and student support services available at this college	4.02	3.91		1		
18m. All instructors clearly explained course grading policies	4.31	4.27		1		
18n. All instructors clearly explained course syllabi	4.40	4.36		1		
Total				30		

SENSE/CCSSE Qualitative Analysis Report						
SENSE/CCSSE Focus Questions	<b>Points Possible</b>	Points				
1. Using the SENSE and CCSSE report results, identify any concerns, points of interest, or discrepancies between student behaviors and faculty perceptions/values.	1	1				
2. What are the institution's current priorities as related to their Strategic Plan? What do the SENSE and CCSSE results reveal about the priorities, and how might they impact these priorities?	1	1				
<ol> <li>How might the institution address the differences in responses between entering students (SENSE) and other students (CCSSE)? Formulate a plan of action to address concerns and rectify any discrepancies.</li> </ol>	1	1				
TOTAL	3	3				

### Institution Peer Group Classification

Small Colleges (fewer than 4,499 students) Medium Colleges (4,500-7,999 students) Large Colleges (8,000-14,999 students) Extra-Large Colleges (15,000 or more students)

Fall 2017 Enrollment: 5,776

\*Peer group determined by the Center for Community College Student Engagement based on institutional enrollment size during the administration term.

\*\*Effect Size: Center for Community College Student Engagement considers a difference in mean to be significant when there is an effect size of -.20 or greater.

# **Tennessee Higher Education Commission** 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding **Adult Learner Success**

The Adult Learner Success standard is designed to incentive institutions to qualitatively and quantitatively improve services for adult learners. The standard directs institutions to enhance the quality of adult student services in an effort to increase the enrollment, retention and completion of adult learners.

### **Roane State Community College**



Maximum Points: 10 **Recommended Points:** 

8

	Year 3:	2017-18
Qualitative: Implementation Status		
Institutions will submit a progress report that includes all elements of the Year 2 Action Plan in order to assess the implementation status of each of the Action Plan objectives.	Points Possible	Points
Clear and thorough progress report on the strategies and plans outlined in the Action Plan to effectively		
<sup>o</sup> Recruit, engage, and graduate adult learners informed by evidence-based practices and research		
o Include prior learning assessments into adult learner degree plans	4	4
o Incorporate adult learner survey feedback into current institutional policies and practices		
<ul> <li>Increase the quantity of adult learner graduates</li> </ul>		
Detailed evidence of the extend to which the desired Action Plan objectives have been accomplished		
Total	4	4

	Quantitative									
	Quantitate Metric 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 Benchmark 2016-17 Attained* Points						Points			
1	Adult Learner Graduates	500	482	486	489	397	81%	4		

\*Maximum of 100% attained used for scoring.

Data Source: THEC Student Information System

# **Tennessee Higher Education Commission** 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding **Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement**

The Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement standard is designed to provide incentives for community colleges to continue to improve job placement of graduates.

### **Roane State Community College**



Maximum Points: 10 10

**Recommended Points:** 

				Year 3:	2017-18
Academic Year	2013-14	2014-15	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Total Graduates*	545	527	506		
Graduates Enrolled in Community Colleges	75	65	84		
Graduates Enrolled in Universities	58	62	42		
Graduates Employed Part-time	31	30	30		
Graduates Engaged in	Tennessee J	ob Market			
Graduates with Unemployment Claim	10	5	4		
Graduates Employed Fulltime	318	321	320		
Total Graduates Engaged in the Tennessee Job Market	328	326	324		
Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement Rate**	97%	99%	99%		

\* Total Graduates equals the graduates for academic year, excluding University Parallel (16.24.0101) and Professional Studies (16.24.0102) degrees and certificates.

\*\*Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement Rate is calculated by dividing the Graduates Employed Fulltime by the Graduates Engaged in the Tennessee Job Market.

## Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2015-20 Quality Assurance Funding Student Access and Success

The Student Access and Success standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to increase the number of graduates from select focus populations. Institutions select those focus populations particularly important to the institution's mission and measure the quality of services dedicated to those students. The measure of institutional success is an increase in the focus population graduation rate.

Roane State Community College	e Community College	nunity College
-------------------------------	---------------------	----------------



Maximum Points:25Recommended Points:16

Year 3: 2017-18								
	Focus Population	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	3 Yr. Avg Benchmark	2016-17	Percent Attained*	Points Recommended
1	Ethnic Minorities	60	55	65	60	67	112%	5
2	Geographic High Need Area	429	424	578	477	466	98%	4
3	Low Income	646	656	679	660	628	95%	4
4	Males	324	352	371	349	315	90%	3
5	STEM Programs	22	23	25	23	11	47%	0

Ethnic Minorities	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	3 Yr. Avg	2016-17
African American	15	15	24	18	24
Alaskan Native/Native American	4	3	5	4	6
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	7	4	6	7
Hispanic	22	21	19	21	21
Multiracial	12	9	13	11	9
Total	60	55	65	60	67

Geographic High Need Area	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	3 Yr. Avg	2016-17
Campbell County	61	61	70	64	59
Cumberland County	83	100	109	97	88
Fentress County	27	23	40	30	38
Morgan County	53	54	75	61	59
Roane County	132	135	219	162	169
Scott County	73	51	65	63	53
Total	429	424	578	477	466

STEM Programs	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	3 Yr. Avg	2016-17
11. Computer & Information Sciences	4	2	3	3	8
15. Engineering Technology	18	21	22	20	3
Total	22	23	25	23	11

\*Maximum of 100% attained used for scoring. Data Source: THEC Student Information System